

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2002 WL 34373488 (C.D.Cal.)  
 (Cite as: **2002 WL 34373488 (C.D.Cal.)**)

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

United States District Court,  
 C.D. California,  
 Southern Division.  
 Arthur CARMONA, et al.  
 v.  
 COUNTY OF ORANGE, et al.  
**No. SA CV 00-1215 AHS (MLGx).**

April 19, 2002.

Named Expert: Mary Pierson

[Charles Ferrari](#), Charles Ferrari Law Office,  
[Gregory A. Patton](#), Gregory A. Patton Law Offices,  
 Santa Ana, CA, for Arthur Carmona, et al.

[Jerry A. Scheer](#), Costa Mesa City Attorney, Costa  
 Mesa, CA, [Daniel K. Spradlin](#), [Roberta A. Kraus](#),  
 Woodruff Spradlin & Smart, Orange, CA, for  
 County of Orange, et al.

PROCEEDINGS: ORDER DENYING WITHOUT  
 PREJUDICE: (1) DEFENDANT CITY OF  
 IRVINE'S AND DEFENDANT CITY OF COSTA  
 MESA'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDG-  
 MENT, AND (2) DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO  
 PRECLUDE PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL  
 EXPERT DESIGNATION AND TO EXCLUDE  
 THE TESTIMONY OF MARY PIERSON

Hon. [ALICEMARIE H. STOTLER](#), District Judge.

\*1 Ellen Matheson, Deputy Clerk.

Not Present, Court Reporter.

On February 15, 2002, defendant City of Irvine  
 ("Irvine") filed a motion for summary judgment.  
 Also on February 15, 2002, defendant City of Costa  
 Mesa ("Costa Mesa") filed a separate motion for  
 summary judgment. On March 19, 2002, plaintiffs  
 Arthur Carmona and Veronica Sandoval Carmona

(collectively, "plaintiffs") filed opposition, to  
 which defendants Irvine and Costa Mesa filed sep-  
 arate replies. Hearing was set on the Court's April  
 1, 2002, hearing calendar.

On March 15, 2002, defendants Irvine, Costa Mesa,  
 Irvine Police Department and Costa Mesa Police  
 Department (collectively, "defendants") filed a con-  
 solidated motion to preclude plaintiffs' supplement-  
 al expert designation and to exclude the testimony  
 of Mary Pierson. On March 25, 2002, plaintiffs  
 filed their opposition. Hearing was set on the  
 Court's April 8, 2002 hearing calendar.

On March 25, 2002, the Court granted defendants'  
 motion to dismiss without prejudice and request for  
 judicial notice, and vacated the pretrial conference  
 and trial dates. On April 15, 2002, plaintiffs filed a  
 Third Amended Complaint.

In light of the Court's March 25, 2002 Order, the  
 Court hereby denies defendant Irvine and defendant  
 Costa Mesa's separate motions for summary judg-  
 ment and denies defendants' motion to preclude  
 plaintiffs' supplemental expert designation and to  
 exclude the testimony of Mary Pierson, all without  
 prejudice.

The Clerk shall serve this minute order on counsel  
 for all parties in this action.

C.D.Cal.,2002.

Carmona v. County of Orange

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2002 WL 34373488  
 (C.D.Cal.)

END OF DOCUMENT